

International Journal of Applied Research

ISSN Print: 2394-7500 ISSN Online: 2394-5869 Impact Factor: 8.4 IJAR 2021; 7(11): 32-40 www.allresearchjournal.com Received: 19-09-2021 Accepted: 21-10-2021

Musadiq Shaheen Gojree Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics, Rabindranath Tagore University, Raisen, Madhya Pradesh, India

Dr. Bhawna Agrawal Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics, Rabindranath Tagore University, Raisen, Madhya Pradesh, India

Corresponding Author: Musadiq Shaheen Gojree Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics, Rabindranath Tagore University, Raisen, Madhya Pradesh, India

An analytical study of the metric dimension in context of wheel related graphs

Musadiq Shaheen Gojree and Dr. Bhawna Agrawal

Abstract

An ordered set $W = (w_1, ..., w_k) \subseteq V(G)$ vertices of *G* is called a resolving set or locating set for *G* if every vertex is uniquely determined by its vector of distance to the vertices in *W*. A resolving set of minimum cardinality is called a basis for *G* and this cardinality is the metric dimension or location number of *G*, denoted by $\beta(G)$. In this paper, we study the metric dimension of certain wheel related graphs, namely m-level wheels, an infinite class of convex polytopes and antiweb-gear graphs denoted by $W_{n,m}$, \mathbb{Q} and AWJ_{2n} , respectively. We prove that these infinite classes of convex polytopes generated by wheel, denoted by \mathbb{Q}_n also gives a negative answer to an open problem proposed by Imran *et al.* (2012).

Keywords: metric dimension, context, wheel related graphs

Introduction

Metric dimension is a parameter that has appeared in various applications of graph theory, as diverse as, pharmaceutical chemistry robot navigation combinatorial optimization networking, facility location problems and sonar and coast guard Loran to name a few. The metric dimension of graph has been found of key importance of the evolution of cooperation. A basic problem in chemistry is to provide mathematical representation for a set chemical compound can be represented by a labeled graph whose vertex and edge labels specify the atom and bond types, respectively. This, a graph-theoretic interpretation of this problem is to provide representation for the vertex of a graph in such a way that distinct vertices have distinct representation. The distance d(u, v) between two vertices $u, v \in V(G)$ in a connected graph G is the length of a shortest path between them, while diameter of G, denoted by diam(G) is the maximum distance between any pair of vertices $u, c \in V(G)$. Let $W = \{w_1, \dots, w_k\}$ be an ordered set of vertices of G and let v be a vertex of the representation with W r(v|W) of vrespect to is the k - tupil $(d(v, w_1), d(v, w_2), \dots, d(v, w_k))$. If distinct vertices of G have distinct representations with respect to W, then W is called a resolving set or locating set for $G^{[3]}$. A resolving set of minimum cardinality is called a basis for G and his cardinality is the metric dimension of G, denoted by $\beta(G)$. The concept of resolving sets and metric dimension have previously appeared in the literature.

For a given ordered set of vertex $W = \{w_1, w_2, ..., w_k\}$ of a graph G, then i^{th} component if r(v|W) is 0 only if $w_i = v$. Thus, to show that W is a resolving set it suffices to verify that r(x|W) for each pair of distinct vertices $x, y \in V(G) \setminus W$.

A useful property in finding the $\beta(G)$ is the following lemma.

Let *W* be a resolving set for a connected graph *G* and $u, v \in V(G)$. If d(u, w) = d(v, w) for all vertex $w \in V(G)\{u, v\}$, then $\{u, v\} \cap W \neq \emptyset$.

Let \mathcal{F} denotes family of connected graphs $G_n: \mathcal{F} = (G_n)_{n \ge 1}$ depending on n as follows: the order $|V(G)| = \varphi(n)$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} \varphi(n) = \infty$. if there exist a constant C > 0 such that $\beta(G_n) \le C$ for every $n \ge 1$, then we shall say that \mathcal{F} has bounded metric dimension; otherwise \mathcal{F} has unbounded metric dimension.

If all graphs in \mathcal{F} have the same metric dimension (which does not depend on *n*), \mathcal{F} is called a family with constant metric dimension. The families of graph with constant metric dimension were discussed previously in then metric dimension of several classes of vertex polytopes has been discussed in In this paper, we study the metric dimension of certain wheel related graphs, namely m-level wheel, an infinity class of convex polytopes defined in and antiweb-gear graphs denoted by $W_{n,m}$, \mathbb{Q} and AWJ_{2n} , respectively. The study of an infinity class of vertex polytopes gives a negative answer to an open problem proposed in We prove that these infinity classes of wheel related graphs have unbounded metric dimension.

Metric dimension of m-level wheels

Denoting by G + H as join of two graphs, a wheel graphs denoted by $W_{n,1} \cong C_{n,1} + K_1$, where $C_{n,1}: v_1, v_2, ..., v_n, v_1$ for $n \ge 3$ is a cycle of length n. For our convenience, we denote the outer cycle of the wheel by $C_{n,1}$. It is provided in ^[3] that $\beta(W_{n,1}) = \left[\frac{2n+2}{5}\right]$ for $m \ge 7$, imply that wheels have unbounded metric dimension.

Suppose $C_{n,1}$ is an outer cycle of length n of $W_{n,1}$. If B is a basis of $W_{n,1}$ then it contains $r \ge 2$ vertices on $C_{n,1}$ for $n \ge 3$ and we can order the certices of $B = \{v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}, ..., v_{i_r}\}$ so that $i_1 < i_2 ..., i_r$. We shall say that the paris of vertices $\{v_{i_a}, v_{i_{a+1}}\}$ for $1 \le a \le r - 1$ and $\{v_{i_r}, v_{i_1}\}$ are pairs of neighboring vertices. Given such an oedering, as in ^[3] we will define the gap g_a for $a \le a \le r - 1$ as the set vertices $\{v_i|i_a < j < i_{a+1}\}$ and $g_r = \{v_i|1 \le j \le i_1 \text{ or } i_r < j \le n\}$.

Thus we have r gaps, some of which may be empty. We will say that gap g_a and g_b are neighboring gaps when |a - b| = 1 or r - 1. It was shown in that if B is a basis for $W_{n,1}$ then B consists only the vertices of $C_{n,1}$ that satisfy the following properties:

a) Every gap B contains at most three vertices.

b) At most one gap of B contains three vertices.

c) If a gap of B contains at least two vertices, then both of its neighboring gaps contain at most one vertex.

Definition: A double-wheel graph $W_{n,2}$ can be obtained as join of $2C_n + K_1$, and inductively we can construct an m-level wheel graph denoted by $W_{n,m} \cong mC_n + K_1$.

Let $C_{n,1}, \ldots, C_{n,m}$ represent the cycle of $W_{n,m}$. At levels 1,...,m, respectively, as shown in fig.1. We want to compute the metric dimension of $W_{n,2}, \ldots, W_{n,m}$. For this study the metric dimension of $W_{n,1}$.

Suppose that $W_{n,2} = 2C_{n,1} + K_1$ fo $n \ge 3$, then the central vertex v does not belong to any basis. Since $diam(W_{n,2}) = 2$, so if v belongs to any metric basis, say B, then there must exist two distinct vertices v_i and v_j , for $1 \le i \ne j \le n$ such that



Fig 1: An m-level wheel W_{12,m}

 $r(v_i|B = r(v_j|B)$. Consequently, the basis vertices belong to the rim vertices of $W_{n,2}$ only if B is a basis of $W_{n,2}$, then contains only vertices from the cycle induced by $C_{n,1}$ and $C_{n,2}$. We have the following gap conditions for the selection of basis vertices: 1. Every gap of B for the vertices of $C_{n,1}$ satisfy conditions (a) – (c) presented for $W_{n,1}$. International Journal of Applied Research

- 2. Every gap of B may have at most three vertices of $C_{n,1}$ or $C_{n,2}$. Otherwise, there may be a gap having three vertices, say, $W_i, w_{i+1}, w_{i+2} (1 \le i \le n)$ of $C_{n,2}$ and addition performed modulo n such that $r(w_{i+1}|B) = r(v_{i+1}|B)$, where v_i, v_{i+1}, v_{i+2} are the vertices of the gap of $C_{n,1}$. In other words, we can say that at most one gap of B have three vertices.
- 3. If a gap of B has two vertices then its neighboring gap contains at most one vertex. Otherwise, there exist five consecutive vertices, say, $w_i, w_{i+1}, w_{i+2}, w_{i+3}, w_{i+4}$ such that $w_{i+2} \in B$ $(1 \le i \le n)$. However, then we have $r(w_{i+1}|B) = r(w_{i+3}|B)$.

Now suppose that B is any set of vertices of $C_{n,1}$ and $C_{n,2}$ that satisfies conditions (i)-(iii) and let $y \in V(W_{n,2}) \setminus B$. there are following possibilities to be discussed:

- 1. If y belongs to gap of B of vertices of $C_{n,1}$ the it must satisfy the following conditions:
- a) y belongs to a gap of size one of B. suppose v_i and v_i be the neighboring vertices of B that determine this gap. Then y is adjacent to v_i and v_i and has distance two from all other vertices of B. since $n \ge 7$, no other vertices of W_{n1} has this property and so $r(y|B) \neq (x|B)$ for $x \neq y$.
- b) y Belongs to a gap of size two of B. then we may assume that $v_j, v_{j+1} = y, y_{j+2}, y_{j+3}$ are vertices of $C_{n,1}$, where $v_{i+1}, v_{i+3} \in B$ and $v_{i+2} \notin B$. Then y is adjacent to v_i and has distance 2 from all other vertices of B. By property (C), only y has this property and so $r(y|B) \neq r(x)|B)$ for $x \neq y$
- y Belongs to a gap of size three of B. Then there exists vertices $v_j, v_{j+1}, v_{j+2}, v_{j+2}, v_{j+3}, v_{j+4}$ of $C_{n,1}$, where only $v_{i+1}, v_{i+4} \in B$. Assume first that $y = v_{i+1}$. Then y adjacent to v_i and has distance 2 from all other vertices of B. by property \mathbb{O} , y is the only vertex of $W_{n,1}$ with this property and so $r(y|B) \neq r(x|B)$ for $x \neq y$. Next, we assume that $y = v_{i+2}$. Then r(y|B) = 2, 2, ..., 2). By properties (a) and (b), no other vertex of $W_{n,1}$ has this representation.
- d) y = v be a central vertex. Then r(y|B) = 1, 1, ..., 1 and y is the only vertex of $W_{-}(n, 1)$ with representation.
- 2. Similarly, one can show that if either y belongs to a gap of size one, two or three of B of vertices of cycle induced by $C_{n,2}$ or if y is a central vertex of $W_{n,2}$ then, we have $r(y|B) \neq r(x|B)$ for $x \neq y; y \in V(W_{n,2})$.

Therefore, any set B having properties (i)-(iii) is a resolving set for $W_{n,2}$

In the next theorem, we give a precise formula for computing the metric dimension of double wheel $W_{n,2}$ for $n \ge 7$. this result provides a base for extending the result to the metric dimension of m-level wheels.

Theorem 2.1 If $n \ge 7$, then we have $\beta(W_{n,2}) = \beta(W_{n,1}) + \left\lfloor \frac{2n+4}{5} \right\rfloor$. Proof. Let $W_{n,1} \cong C_{n,1} + K$ and $W_{n,2} \cong 2C_{n,1} + K_1$, where v is the central vertex of $W_{n,2}$ and $C_{n,1}: v_1, \dots, v_n, v_1$ and $C_{n,2}$: w_1, \dots, w_n, w_1 be the outer cycles of $W_{n,2}$ at levels 1 and 2, respectively. First we prove that $\beta(W_{n,2}) \le \beta(W_{n,1}) + \left\lfloor \frac{2n+4}{5} \right\rfloor$ by constructing a resolving set in $W_{n,2}$ with $\beta(W_{n,1}) + \left|\frac{2n+4}{5}\right|$ vertices. We assume the following cases according to the residue class modulo 5 to which n belongs.

Case 1: When $n \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$, the we may write n = 5k, where $k \ge 2$, and $\beta(W_{n,1}) + \lfloor \frac{2n+4}{5} \rfloor = 4k$. since $B \equiv 4k$. $\{v_{5i+1}, v_{5i+4}, w_{5i+1}, w_{5i+4}: 0 \le i, j \le k-1, \text{ it is resolving set having 4k vertices as it satisfies conditions (i)-(iii).$

Case 2: When $n \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$, then we may write n = 5k + 1 where $k \ge 2$, and $\beta(W_{n,1}) + \lfloor \frac{2n+4}{5} \rfloor = 4k + 1$. Since B = 1 $\{v_{5i+1}, v_{5i+4}: 0 \le i \le k-2\} \cup \{v_{5k-4}, v_{5k}\} \cup \{w_{5i+1}, w_{5i+4}: 0 \le j \le k-1\} \cup \{w_{5k+1}\}, \text{ it is a resolving set having } 4k+1$ vertices as it satisfies conditions (i)-(iii).

Case 3: When $n \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$, then we may write n = 5k + 2 where $k \ge 1$, and $\beta(W_{n,1}) + \lfloor \frac{2n+4}{5} \rfloor = 4k + 2$. Since $B = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2n+4}{5} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2n+4}{5} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2n+4}{5} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2$ $\{v_{5i+1}, v_{5i+4}, w_{5j+1}, w_{5j+4}: 0 \le i, j \le k-1\} \cup \{v_{5k+1}, v_{5k+1}\}, t \text{ is a resolving set having } 4k+2 \text{ vertices as it satisfies } k-1\} \cup \{v_{5k+1}, v_{5k+1}\}, t \text{ is a resolving set having } 4k+2 \text{ vertices as it satisfies } k-1\} \cup \{v_{5k+1}, v_{5k+1}\}, t \text{ is a resolving set having } 4k+2 \text{ vertices } k-1\} \cup \{v_{5k+1}, v_{5k+1}\}, t \text{ is a resolving set having } 4k+2 \text{ vertices } k-1\} \cup \{v_{5k+1}, v_{5k+1}\}, t \text{ is a resolving } k-1\} \cup \{v_{5k+1}, v_{5k+1}\}, t \text{ a resolving } k-1\} \cup \{v_{5k+1}, v_{5k+1}\}, t \text{ is a resolving } k-1\} \cup \{v_{5k+1}, v_{5k+1}\}, t \text{ a resolving$ conditions (i)-(iii).

Case 4: When $n \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$, then we may write n = 5k + 4 where $k \ge 1$, and $\beta(W_{n,1}) + \lfloor \frac{2n+4}{5} \rfloor = 4k + 4$. Since B = 4k + 4. $\{v_{5i+1}, v_{5i+4}, w_{5j+1}, w_{5j+4}: 0 \le i, j \le k\}$. It is a resolving set having 4k + 4 vertices as it satisfies conditions (i)-(iii).

Case 5: When $n \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$, then we may write n = 5k + 3 where $k \ge 1$, and $\beta(W_{n,1}) + \lfloor \frac{2n+4}{5} \rfloor = 4k + 3$. Since $B = \{v_{5i+1}, v_{5i+4}, : 0 \le i \le k - 2\} \cup \{v_{5k-4}, v_{5k}, v_{5k+2}\} \cup \{w_{5j+4}, w_{5j+6}: 0 \le j \le k - 1\} \cup \{w_1, w_{5k+3}\}$, is a resolving set having 4k + 3 vertices as it satisfies conditions (i)-(iii).

Hence, it follows from above discussion that $\beta(W_{n,2}) \leq \beta(W_{n,1}) + \left\lfloor \frac{2n+4}{5} \right\rfloor$.

Next, we show that $\beta(W_{n,2}) \ge \beta(W_{n,1}) + \lfloor \frac{2n+4}{5} \rfloor$. Let B a basis for $W_{n,2}$. We consider the following cases:

Case (a). subcase(a₁): $|B_1| = 2l$ for some integer $l \ge 1$, where B₁ is the basis for $W_{n,1}$ as obtained in ^[3], i.e. $|B_1| \ge \beta(W_{n,1}) =$ $\left\lfloor \frac{2n+2}{5} \right\rfloor$

Subcase (a₂): $|B_2|=2t$ for some integer $t \ge 1$, where B_2 represents the resolving vertices lying on $C_{n,2}$ in presence of vertices B1. The conditions (i)-(iii) imply that at most t gaps of B2 contain two vertices. So the number of vertices that belong to different gaps of B₂ are at most 3t. Therefore we get, $n - 2t \le 3t$ which implies that $|B_2| = 2t \ge \left|\frac{2n}{5}\right| \ge \left|\frac{2n+4}{5}\right|$

Subcase(a₃): $|B_2| = 2t + 1$ for some integer $t \ge 1$, where B₂ represents the resolving vertices lying on $C_{n,2}$ in presence of vertices B_1 . Condition (i)-(iii) implies that at most t gaps of B_2 contains two vertices. So the number of vertices that belong to different gaps of B_2 are at most 3t + 1. Therefore we get, $n - 2t - a \le 3t + 1$ which implies that $|B_2| = 2t + 1 \ge \left\lfloor \frac{2n+1}{5} \right\rfloor \ge 1$ $\beta(W_{n,1}) + \left[\frac{2n+4}{5}\right].$

Case (b). subcase(b₁): $|B_1| = 2l + 1$ for some integer $l \ge 1$, where B_1 is the basis for $W_{n,1}$ as obtained in ^[3], i.e. $|B_1| \ge 1$ $\beta(W_{n,1}).$

Subcase(b₂): $|B_2| = 2t + 1$ for some integer $t \ge 1$, where B_2 represents the resolving vertices lying on $C_{n,2}$ in presence of vertices B₁. Condition (i)-(iii) implies that at most t gaps of B₂ contain two vertices. So the number of vertices that belong to different gaps of B₂ are at most 3t + 1. therefore we get, $n - 2t - 1 \le 3t + 1$ which implies that $|B_2| = 2t + 1 \ge \left\lfloor \frac{2n+1}{5} \right\rfloor \ge 1$ $\left\lfloor \frac{2n+4}{5} \right\rfloor$

Subcase (b₃): This case is similar to the cubase (a_2) . Hence by combining subcase (b_1) with subcase (b_2) or subcase (b_3) , we obtain that $|B| = |B_1| + |B_2| \ge 2l + 1 + 2t + 1 \ge \beta(W_{n,1}) + \left\lfloor \frac{2n+4}{5} \right\rfloor$, which completes the proof. We now extend out results to m-level wheel denoted by $W_{n,m}$ In the next theorem, we apply mathematical induction on the

levels of wheel to prove result.

Theorem 2.2 we have $\beta(W_{n,m})\beta(W_{n,1}) + (m-1)\left\lfloor \frac{2n+4}{5} \right\rfloor$ for every integer $n \ge 7$ and $m \ge 3$.

Proof. We will prove this result by induction on levels of wheel denoted by 'm'. When m=1, then $\beta(W_{n,1}) = \left|\frac{2n+2}{5}\right|$ is obtained in ^[3]. When m=2, then $\beta(W_{n,2}) = \beta(W_{n,1}) + \left|\frac{2n+4}{5}\right|$ by theorem 2.1. Now we assume that the assertion is true for m = k i.e.,

$$\beta(W_{n,k}) = \beta(W_{n,1}) + (k-1)\left\lfloor \frac{2n+4}{5} \right\rfloor.$$
(1)

We will shoe that it is true for m = k + 1. Suppose $\beta(W_{n,k+1}) = \beta(W_{n,k}) + \lfloor \frac{2n+4}{5} \rfloor$, then by using Eq. (1), we have $\beta(W_{n,k+1}) = \left\{\beta(W_{n,1}) + (k-1)\left|\frac{2n+4}{5}\right|\right\} + \left|\frac{2n+4}{5}\right| = \beta(W_{n,1}) + (k)\left|\frac{2n+4}{5}\right|.$ Hence the result is true for all positive integers $m \geq 3$.

1. Metric dimension of an infinity class of convex polytopes

Let $I = \{1, ..., n\}$ be an index set and Q_n be the graph of an antiprism. The antiprism $Q_n, n \ge 3^{[16]}$ is the plane regular graph. Let us denote the vertex set of Q_n by $V(Q_n) = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n\}$ and the edge set by $E(Q_n) = \{y_i y_{i+1} : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ I}U{ $z_i z_{i+1}$: $i \in I$ }U{ $y_i z_i + 1$: $i \in I$ }. We make the convention that $y_{n+1} = y_1$ and $z_{n+1} = z_1$ to simplify later notations. The face set $F(Q_n)$ contains 2n 3-sided face and two n-sided face(internal and external). We insert exactly one vertex x(t) into the internal (external) n-sided face of Q_n and consider the graph \mathbb{Q}_n with the vertex set $V(\mathbb{Q}_n) = V(Q_n) \cup \{x, t\}$ and the edge set $E(\mathbb{Q}_n) = E(Q_n) \cup \{xy_{i+1}: i \in I\} \cup \{z_i t: i \in I\}$ the \mathbb{Q}_n is the plane graph consisting of 3-sided faces and constitutes an infinite class of convex polytopes.

The metric dimension of several classes of graphs was studied in [8, 9, 12, 13, 15-17] and was proved that the classes of convex polytopes have constant metric dimensions. The following open problem was proposed in ^[8].

Open Problem is it the case that graph of every convex polytope has constant metric dimension? In this section, we study the metric dimension of this class of convex polytopes denoted by \mathbb{Q}_n and we prove that this class of graph has unbounded metric dimension, thus giving a negative answer to the open problem proposed in ^[9]. Let $y_1, y_2, ..., y_n$ and $z_1, z_2, ..., z_n$ represented the vertices of inner cycle $C_{n,1}$ an outer cycle $C_{n,2}of \mathbb{Q}_n$ respectively as shown in fig.2. Suppose that \mathbb{Q}_n for $n \ge 3$ be an infinite class of convex polytopes, then the central vertices x and t do not belongs to any basis. Since $diam(\mathbb{Q}_n) = 3$, so if one of *c* and *t* belongs to any metric basis, say B, then there must exist two distince vertices v_i and v_j , for $11 \le i \ne j \ne n$ such that $r(v_i|B) = r(v_i|B)$. Consequently, the basis vertices belong to the rim vertices of \mathbb{Q}_n only.

If B is a basis of \mathbb{Q}_n , then B contains only vertices of inner cycle of \mathbb{Q}_n . We have the following gap conditions for the selection of the basis vertices:

- i) Every gap of B may have at most two vertices of $C_{n,1}$. Otherwise there exist a gap of B having three vertices $y_p, y_{p+1}, y_{p+2}, y_{p+3}$ and y_{p+4} with $y_p, y_{p+4} \in B$ such that $r(y_{p+2}|B) = r(t|B) = (2, 2, ..., 2)$.
- ii) If a gap of B contains two vertices of $C_{n,1}$, then its neighboring gaps may contain at most one vertex. Otherwise, there exist five consecutive vertices $y_p, y_{p+1}, y_{p+2}, y_{p+3}$ and y_{p+4} with $y_{p+2} \in B$ such that $r(y_{p+1}|B) = r(y_{p+3}|B)$.



Fig 2: An infinite class of convex polytopes \mathbb{Q}_n

Now we assume that B is any set of vertices of $C_{n,1}$ that satisfies condition (i) and (ii) and let $y \in V(\mathbb{Q}_n)$. There are following possibilities to be discussed:

- If y belongs to a gap of size two of B with vertices $y_p, y_{p+1} = y, y_{p+2}, y_{p+3}$ such that $y_p, y_{p+3} \in B$, then r(y|B) = (1, 2, ..., 2).
- If y belongs to a gap of size two of B with vertices $y_p, y_{p+1} = y, y_{p+2}$ such that $y_p, y_{p+2} \in B$, then r(y|B) = (1,1,2,...,2).
- If y = t then r(y|B) = (2, 2, ..., 2).
- If y = x then r(y|B) = (1,1,...,1).
- If $y = z_p \in V(C_{n,2})$ and y is adjacent to y_p and y_{p+n-1} with $y_p, y_{p+3}, y_{p+n-1} \in B$, then r(y|B) = (1,3, ..., 3, 1).
- If $y = z_p \in V(C_{n,2})$ and y is adjacent to y_p and y_{p+n-1} with $y_p, y_{p+3}, y_{p+n-2} \in B$, then r(y|B) = (1,3, ..., 3, 2).
- If $y = z_p \in V(C_{n,2})$ and y is adjacent to y_p and y_{p+n-1} with $y_{p+1}, y_{p+n-2} \in B$, then r(y|B) = (2,3, ..., 3, 2).
- If $y = z_p \in V(C_{n,2})$ and y is adjacent to y_p and y_{p+n-1} with $y_{p+1}, y_{p+n-3}, y_{p+n-1} \in B$, then r(y|B) = (2,3, ..., 3, 1).

Therefore, any set B having properties (i) and (ii) is a resolving ser of \mathbb{Q}_n . We now present an exact formula for computing the metric dimension of \mathbb{Q}_n for every integer $n \ge 6$.

Theorem 3.1. If $n \ge 6$, then we have $\beta(\mathbb{Q}_n) = \left\lfloor \frac{2n+4}{5} \right\rfloor$.

Proof. We prove this result by double inequality. First we prove that $\beta(\mathbb{Q}_n) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{(2n+4)}{5} \right\rfloor$ by constructing a resolving set in \mathbb{Q}_n with $\left\lfloor \frac{2n+4}{5} \right\rfloor$ vertices. We consider the following cases according to the residue class modulo 5 to which *n* belongs.

Case 1: When $n \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$, then we may write n = 5k, where $k \ge 2$, and $\left\lfloor \frac{2n+4}{5} \right\rfloor = 2k$. Since $B = \{y_{5i+1}, y_{5i+4}: 0 \le i \le k-1\}$, it is resolving set having 2k vertices as it satisfies conditions (i) and (ii).

Case 2: When $n \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$, then we may write n = 5k + 1, where $k \ge 1$, and $\left\lfloor \frac{2n+4}{5} \right\rfloor = 2k + 1$. Since $B = \{y_{5i+1}, y_{5i+4}: 0 \le i \le k - 1\} \cup \{y_{5k+1}\}$, it is resolving set having 2k + 1 vertices as it satisfies conditions (i) and (ii).

Case 3: When $n \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$, then we may write n = 5k + 2, where $k \ge 1$, and $\left\lfloor \frac{2n+4}{5} \right\rfloor = 2k + 1$. Since $B = \{y_{5i+1}, y_{5i+4}: 0 \le i \le k-1\} \cup \{w_{5k+1}, \text{ it is resolving set having } 2k + 1 \text{ vertices as it satisfies conditions (i) and (ii).}$

Case 4: When $n \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$, then we may write n = 5k + 3, where $k \ge 1$, and $\left\lfloor \frac{2n+4}{5} \right\rfloor = 2k + 2$. Since $B = \{y_{5i+4}, y_{5i+6}: 0 \le i \le k-1\} \cup (y_1, y_{5k+3}, \text{ it is resolving set having } 2k + 2 \text{ vertices as it satisfies conditions (i) and (ii).}$

Case 5: When $n \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$, then we may write n = 5k + 4, where $k \ge 1$, and $\left\lfloor \frac{2n+4}{5} \right\rfloor = 2k + 2$. Since $B = \{y_{5i+1}, y_{5i+4}: 0 \le i \le k\}$, it is resolving set having 2k + 2 vertices as it satisfies conditions (i) and (ii). Hence, from above it follows that $\beta(\mathbb{Q}_n) \le \left\lfloor \frac{2n+4}{5} \right\rfloor$.

Next we show that $\beta(\mathbb{Q}_n) \ge \left\lfloor \frac{2n+4}{5} \right\rfloor$. Let B be a basis of \mathbb{Q}_n . We consider the following cases:

Case (a): |B| = 2t for some integer $t \ge 1$, the conditions (i) and (ii) imply that at most t gaps of B contains two vertices. So the number of vertices that belong to different gaps of B are at most 3t. Therefore $n = 2t \le 3t$, which implies that $|B| = 2t \ge 3t$ $\left[\frac{2n}{5}\right] \ge \left\lfloor\frac{2n+4}{5}\right\rfloor.$

Case (b): |B| = 2t + 1 for some integer $t \ge 1$. the conditions (i) and (ii) imply that at most t gaps of B contains two vertices. So the number of vertices that belong to different gaps of B are at most 3t + 1. Therefore $n - 2t - 1 \le 3t + 1$, which implies that $|B| = 2t + 1 \ge \left\lfloor \frac{2n+1}{5} \right\rfloor \ge \left\lfloor \frac{2n+4}{5} \right\rfloor$. Which complete the proof.

2. Metric dimension of antiweb-gear graphs

The gear graph denoted by j_{2n} is defined as follows: Consider an even cycle C_{2n} : $v_1, v_2, ..., v_{2n}, v_1$ where $n \ge 2$ and a new

vertex v is adjacent to n vertices of C_{2n} : $v_2, v_4, ..., v_{2n}$. The gear graph J_{2n} can be obtained from the wheel W_{2n} by alternately deleting n spokes. Tomescu and Javaid ^[33] proved that $bata (J_{2n}) = \left\lfloor \frac{2n}{3} \right\rfloor for n \ge 4.$

An antiweb-wheel denoted by AWW_n can be defined as $AWW_n \cong C_n^2 + K_1$. We have $V(AWW_n) = V(W_n)$ and $E(AWW_n) = V(W_n)$ $E(W_n) \cup \{v_i v_{i+2} : 0 \le i \le n\}$, where the indices are taken modulo *n*. In ^[23], it was proved that

$$\beta(AWW_n) = \begin{cases} \left|\frac{n+1}{3}\right| & : if \ n \ is \ odd; \\ \frac{n}{3} & : otherwise. \end{cases}$$

The antiweb-gear graph can be obtained from gear graph J_{2n} by replacing C_{2n} by C_{2n}^2 and is denoted by AWJ_{2n} . We have $V(AWJ_{2n}) = V(J_{2n})$ and $E(AWJ_{2n}) = E(J_{2n}) \cup \{v_i v_{i+2} : 0 \le i \le n$, where the indices are taken modulo *n*. In this section, we study the metric dimension of antiweb-gear graphs and we prove that this class has unbounded metric dimension.

Suppose that AWJ_{2n} for $n \ge 3$, then the central vertex v does not belong to any basis. Since $diam(AWJ_{2n}) = 4$, if v belongs to any metric basis, say B, then there must exist two distinct vertices v_i and v_j for $1 \le i \ne j \le n$ such that $r(v_i|B) = r(v_j|B)$. Consequently, the basis vertices belong to the rim vertices of AWJ_{2n} only (An antiweb-gear graph is shown in fig. (3).

A gap determined by neighboring vertices v_i and v_j be called an $\alpha - \beta$ with $\alpha \leq \beta$ when deg $(v_i) = \alpha$ and deg $(v_i) = \beta$ or When $\deg(v_i) = \beta$ and $\deg(v_i) = \alpha$. Hence we have three kinds of gaps in AWJ_{2n} , i.e. 4-4, 5-4 and 5-5 gaps.

Lemma 4.1 Let B be a basis of $AWJ_{2n}n \ge 6$, then every 4-4, 5-4 and 5-5 gap of B contains at most 9,8 and 7 vertices respectively.

Proof. On contrary, suppose that there is a 4-4 gap of B having 11 vertices $v_1, ..., v_{11}$ of C_{2n} such that $\deg(v_1) = \deg(v_{11}) =$ 5. For this case, $(v_5|B) = r(v_7|B)$, contradiction. Similarly, if there us a 5-4 having 10 vertices if C_{2n} say, v_1, \dots, v_{10} such that $deg(v_1) = 5$ and $degv(v_{10}) = 4$. In this case, we get $r(v_5|B) = r(v_7|B)$, a contradiction. If there is a 5-5 gap having 9 vertices say, v_1, \dots, v_9 such that $\deg(v_1) = \deg(v_9) = 4$, then $r(v_5|B) = r(v_7|B)$, contradiction.

From now on, the 4-4, 5-4 and 5-5 gaps having 9, 8 and 7 vertices, respectively will be referred as major gaps, while the rest of all will referred as minor gaps. The vertices having degree 5 and 4 are known as major (labeled by star) and minor vertices, respectively.



Fig 3: An antiweb-gear graph AWJ_{12}

Lemma 4.2 any basis B of AWJ_{2n} $n \ge 6$) contains at most one major 4-4 or 5-4 gap.

Proof in contrary, suppose that B contains two distince major gaps of kind 4-4 or 5-4, then we have the following cases:

- 4-4 and 4-4 gaps: $v_1^*, v_2, v_3^*, v_4, v_5^*, v_6^*, v_7, v_8, v_9^*$ and $u_1^*, u_2, u_3^*, u_4, u_5^*, u_6, u_7^*, u_8, u_9^*$; in this case we have $r(v_5^*|B) = r(v_7^*|B)$.
- 4-4 and 5-4 gaps: $\overset{*}{v_1}, v_2, \overset{*}{v_3}, v_4, \overset{*}{v_5}, v_6, \overset{*}{v_7}, v_8, \overset{*}{v_9}$ and $u_1, \overset{*}{u_2}, u_3, \overset{*}{u_4}, u_5, \overset{*}{u_6}, u_7, u_8$; in this case we have $r\left(\overset{*}{v_5}|B\right) = r(\overset{*}{v_4}|B)$.
- 5-4 and 5-4 gaps: $u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6, u_7, u_8$ and $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6, v_7, v_8$; in this case we have $r(v_4|B) = r(u_4|B)$.

In the next lemma, we will prove that any two neighboring gaps, one of which being major may contain together at most 12 vertices.

Lemma 4.3. For any basis B of AWJ_{2n} ($n \ge 6$), any two neighboring gaps, one of which being major of kind4-4, 5-4 or 5-5 contain together at most 12 vertices.

Proof. If the major gap is a 4-4 (with 9) vertices, then by Lemma 4.2 its neighboring gap can neither be a 4-4 gap having 5 vertices nor be a 5-4 gap having 4 vertices. If it is true, consider a path: $v_1^*, v_2, v_3^*, v_4, v_5, v_6, v_7, v_8, v_9, v_{10}, v_{11}^*, v_{12}, v_{13}^*, v_{14}, v_{15}^*$ on C_{2n} where $v_{10} \in B$ such that $r(v_9|B) = r(v_{11}|B)$, contradiction. If the major gap is a 5-4 gap (with 8) vertices then by lemma 4.2, its neighboring gap can't be a 4-4 gap having 5 vertices. If it is true, consider a path

 $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6, v_7, v_8, v_9, v_{10}, v_{11}, v_{12}, v_{13}, v_{14}^*$ on C_{2n} where $v_9 \in B$ such that $r(v_8|B) = r(v_{10}|B)$, contradiction. If the major gap is a 5-5 gap having 7 vertices then its neighboring gap can't be a minor 5-5 gap having 5 vertices. If it is true; consider a path: $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6, v_7, v_8, v_9, v_{10}^*, v_{11}, v_{12}^*, v_{13}$ on C_{2n} where $v_8 \in B$ such that $r\left(v_6^*|B\right) = r(v_{10}^*|B)$, contradiction. If the constraint is neighboring gap can't be a minor 5-5 gap having 5 vertices. If it is true; consider a path: $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6, v_7, v_8, v_9, v_{10}^*, v_{11}, v_{12}^*, v_{13}^*$ on C_{2n} where $v_8 \in B$ such that $r\left(v_6^*|B\right) = r(v_{10}^*|B)$, contradiction.

In the next lemma, we will prove that any two minor neighboring gaps may contain together at most e=ten vertices.

Lemma 4.4. If B is any basis of AQJ_{2n} ($n \ge 6$), then any two minor neighboring gaps contain together at most 10 vertices.

- Proof. By lemma 4.1, any minor 4-4, 5-4 and 5-5 gap contains 7,6 and 5 vertices, respectively, it suffices to prove the following cases:
- Any minor 4-4 gap having 5 or 7 vertices has a neighboring 4-4 or 5-4 gaps with at most 3 and 2 vertices, respectively. Otherwise, there is a neighboring 4-4 or 5-4 gap having 5 and 4 vertices, respectively. In this case, consider a path: $v_1^*, v_2, v_3^*, v_4, v_5^*, v_6^*, v_7, v_8, v_9^*, v_{10}, v_{11}^*, v_{12}, v_{13}^*$ on C_{2n} , where $v_8 \in B$ such that $r\left(v_7^*|B\right) = r(v_9^*|B)$, a contradiction.
- Any minor 5-4 gap having 6 or 4 vertices has a neighboring 4-4 or 5-4 gaps with at most 3 and 4 vertices, respectively. Otherwise, there is a neighboring 4-4 or 5-4 gap having 5 and 6 vertices, respectively. In this case, consider a path: $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6, v_7, v_8, v_9, v_{10}, v_{11}, v_{12}$ on C_{2n} , where $v_7 \in B$ such that $r(v_6|B) = r(v_8|B)$. Or
- $\overset{*}{v_{1}}, v_{2}, \overset{*}{v_{3}}, v_{4}, \overset{*}{v_{5}}, v_{6}, v_{7}, v_{8}, \overset{*}{v_{9}}, v_{10}, \overset{*}{v_{11}}, v_{12}, \overset{*}{v_{13}} \text{ on } \mathcal{C}_{2n}, \text{ where } v_{7} \in B \text{ such that } r(v_{6}|B) = r(v_{8}|B), \text{ a contradiction.}$
- Any 5-5 gap having 5 vertices has a neighboring 5-5 or 5-4 gap with most 3 and 4 vertices, respectively. Otherwise, the neighboring gaps may contain 5 and 6 vertices, respectively. In this case, consider a path: $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6, v_7, v_8, v_9, v_{10}, v_{11}, v_{12}, v_{13}$ on C_{2n} , where $v_7 \in B$ such that $r(v_5|B) = r(v_7|B)$, contradiction.

In the next theorem, we compute the exact value of metric dimension for antiweb-gear graph.

Theorem 4.1. For every $n \ge 15$, we have $\beta(AWJ_{2n}) = \left\lfloor \frac{n+1}{3} \right\rfloor$.

Proof. Consider the antiweb-gear graphs AWJ_{2n} , then we have $\beta(AWJ_{2n}) = 3$, for all $2 \le n \le 8$ and $W_1 = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ and $W_2 = \{v_1, v_4, v_9\}$ being metric basis for all $2 \le n \le 6$ and n = 7,8 respectively. $\beta(AWJ_{2n}) = 4$, for all $9 \le n \le 12$ and $W_3 = \{v_1, v_4, v_8, v_{15}\}, W_4 = \{v_1, v_2, v_{10}, v_{12}\}, W_5 = \{v_1, v_2, v_{10}, v_{12}\}$ and $W_6 = \{v_1, v_4, v_{12}, v_{16}\}$ being metric basis for n = 9, 10, 11, 12, respectively. $\beta(AWJ_{2n}) = 5$ and $W_7 = \{v_1, v_5, v_{12}, v_{15}, v_{20}\}$ and $W_8 = \{v_1, v_4, v_{12}, v_{16}, v_{20}\}$ being metric basis for n = 13, 14, respectively. However for $n \ge 15$, the dimension of AWJ_{2n} increases with number of vertices n. We also know that central vertex can't belong to any basis of AWJ_{2n} . For $n \ge 15$, we prove the result by double inequality. First we show that $\beta(AWJ_{2n}) \le \left\lfloor \frac{n+1}{3} \right\rfloor$ by constructing a resolving set M in AWJ_{2n} having $\left\lfloor \frac{n+1}{3} \right\rfloor$ vertices. For this we consider the following cases:

Case 1: When $n \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$, then we may write 2n = 6k, where $k \ge 6$ and $\left\lceil \frac{n+1}{3} \right\rceil = k+1$. In this case, $M = \{v_1, v_{10}\} \cup \{v_{12i+14}, v_{12i+18}: 0 \le i \le \frac{k-4}{2}\} \cup \{v_{2n-2}\}.$

Case 2: When $n \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$, then we may write 2n = 6k + 1, where $k \ge 6$ and $\left\lceil \frac{n+1}{3} \right\rceil = k + 1$. In this case, $M = \{v_1, v_{10}\} \cup \{v_{12i+14}, v_{12i+18}: 0 \le i \le \frac{k-4}{2}\} \cup \{v_{2n-2}\}.$

Case 3: When $n \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$, then we may write 2n = 6k + 4, where $k \ge 6$ and $\left\lceil \frac{n+1}{3} \right\rceil = k + 1$. In this case, $M = \{v_1, v_{10}\} \cup \{v_{12i+14}, v_{12i+18}: 0 \le i \le \frac{k-4}{2}\} \cup \{v_{2n-2}\}.$

Case 4: When $n \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$, then we may write 2n = 6k, where $k \ge 5$ and $\left[\frac{n+1}{3}\right] = k+1$. In this case, $M = \{v_1, v_{10}\} \cup \{v_{12i+14}, v_{12i+18}: 0 \le i \le \frac{k-5}{2}\} \cup \{v_{2n-4}, v_{2n}\}.$

Case 5: When $n \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$, then we may write 2n = 6k + 2, where $k \ge 5$ and $\left\lceil \frac{n+1}{3} \right\rceil = k + 1$. In this case, $M = \{v_1, v_{10}\} \cup \{v_{12i+14}, v_{12i+18}: 0 \le i \le \frac{k-3}{2}\}$.

Case 6: When $n \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$, then we may write 2n = 6k + 4, where $k \ge 5$ and $\left\lceil \frac{n+1}{3} \right\rceil = k + 1$. We define $M = \{v_1, v_{10}\} \cup \{v_{12i+14}, v_{12i+13}: 0 \le i \le \frac{k-3}{2}\}$.

The set M contains only one major vertex, rest of the vertices are all minor vertices. So there is a unique 5-4 major and 5-4 minor gap, and rest of all are minor 4-4 gaps containing seven and three, one and three or three and three vertices alternative. M is a resolving set of AWJ_{2n} , since any two minor or any two major vertices, respectively, lying in different gaps (neighboring or not) are sepatated by at least one vertex in the set of three or four vertices of M determining these two gaps. This property is true for the vertices lying in the same gap. Also we note that r(v|S) = (1,2,3,...,2) and $r(v|S) \neq r(x|S)$, for every $x \in V(AWJ_{2n})$ where v is a central vertex and $x \neq v$.

To prove that $\beta(AWJ_{2n}) \ge \left[\frac{2n+1}{6}\right]$, Let B be a basis of AWJ_{2n} and |B| = l. Then B induces l gaps on C_{2n} , namely g_1, \dots, g_l such that g_j and g_{j+1} are neighboring gaps for every $1 \le j \le l-1$, and also g_1 and g_2l are neighboring gaps. By Lemma 4.2, at most one of the gaps is major, say g_1 , My Lemma 4.3, and Lemma 4.4, we can write

$$\begin{split} |g_1| + |g_2| &\leq 11; \\ |g_2| + |g_3| &\leq 6; \\ |g_{l-1}| + |g_l| &\leq 9; \\ |g_l| + |g_1| &\leq 12 \end{split}$$

And

 $|g_j| + |g_{j+1}| \le 10,$

For every j = 3, ..., l-2. By adding these inequalities, we get $2(2n-l) = 2\sum_{j=1}^{l} |g_j| \le 10l-2$.

It follows that $l \ge \left\lfloor \frac{2n+1}{6} \right\rfloor$. Since *l* is an integer, for each $2n \equiv 0,2,4 \pmod{6}$, we have $l \ge \left\lfloor \frac{2n+1}{6} \right\rfloor$, which completes the result.

Conclusion

In this paper, we have determined the metric dimension (location number) of m-level wheel graphs, convex polytope graphs and antiweb gear graphs. We proved that these classes of wheel related graphs have unbounded metric dimension. We also gave a negative answer to an open problem proposed in by providing that the infinite class of convex polytopes \mathbb{Q}_n has unbounded metric dimension. We believe that nay infinite class of convex polytopes generated by wheels will have unbounded metric dimension. It is natural to ask for characterization of wheel related graphs with unbounded metric dimension.

References

- 3. Ali M, Ali G, Imran M, Baig AQ, Shafiq MK. On the metric dimension of Mobius ladders, Ars Combin 2012;105:403-410.
- 4. Bac^{*}a M. On face antimagic labelings of plane graphs, JCMCC 2005;53:33-38.
- 5. Buczkowski PS, Chartrand G, Poisson C, Zhang P. On k-dimensional graphs and their bases, Periodica Math. Hung 2003;46(1):9-15.
- 6. Caceres J, Hernando C, Mora M, Puertas ML, Pelayo IM. On the metric dimension of infinite graphs, Electron. Notes Discrete Math 2009;35:15-20.
- 7. Cameron PJ, Van Lint JH. Designs, graphs, codes and their links, London Mathematical Society Student Texts, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1991, 22.
- Chartrand G, Eroh L, Johnson MA, Oellermann OR. Resolvability in graphs and metric dimension of a graph, Discrete Appl. Math 2000;105:99-113.
- 9. Chartrand G, Zhang P. The theory and applications of resolvability in graphs, Congr. Numer 2003;160:47-68.
- 10. Imran M, Baig AQ, Ahmad A. Families of plane graphs with constant metric dimension, Utilitas Math 2012;88:43-57.
- 11. Imran M, Bokhary SA, Baig AQ. On families of convex polytopes with constant metric dimension, Comput. Math. Appl 2010;60:2629-2638.
- 12. Imran M, Bokhary SA, Baig AQ. On families of rotationally symmetric plane graphs with constant metric dimension, Southeast Asian Bull. Math 2012;36:663-675.
- 13. Imran M, Baig AQ. An infinite class of convex polytopes with constant metric dimension, J Combin. Math. Combin. Comput 2012;81:135-143.

- 14. Imran M, Baig AQ, Shafiq MK, Seminic ova-Fen ovc ikova A. Classes of convex polytopes with constant metric dimension, Utilitas Math 2013;90:85-99.
- 15. Imran M, Ahmad A, Bokhary SA. Seminic`ova-Fen`ovc`ikova A. On classes of regular graphs with constant metric dimension, Acta Math. Sci 2013;33:187-206.
- 16. Imran M, Ahmad A, Bokhary SA, Javed I. On the metric dimension of circulant graphs, Appl. Math. Lett 2012;25:320-325.
- 17. Imran M, Bashir F, Baig AQ, Bokhary SA. Riyasat A, Tomescu I. On metric dimension of flower graphs fn_m and convex polytopes, Utilitas Math 2013;92:389-409.
- 18. Javaid I, Rahim MT, Ali K. Families of regular graphs with constant metric dimension, Utilitas Math 2008;75:21-33.
- 19. Javaid I. On metric and partition dimension of some wheel related graphs (PhD thesis), GC University Lahore, Pakistan 2007.
- 20. Johnson MA. Structure-activity maps for visualizing the graph variables arising in drug design, J Biopharm. Statist 1993;3:203-236.
- 21. Kratica J, Kovac^{*}evic^{*}-Vujc^{*}ic^{*} V, C^{*}angalovic^{*} M, Stojanovic^{*} M. Minimal doubly resolving sets and the strong metric dimension of some convex polytopes, Appl. Math. Comput 2012;218(19):9790-9801.
- 22. Khuller S, Raghavachari B, Rosenfeld A. Localization in graphs, Technical Report CS-TR-3326, University of Maryland at College Park 1994.
- 23. Le Bras R, Gomes CP, Selman B. Double-wheel graphs are graceful, preprint.
- 24. Melter RA, Tomescu I. Metric bases in digital geometry, Comput. Vis. Graph. Image Process 1984;25:113-121.
- 25. Naeem R, Imran M. On resolvability and exchange property in antiweb-wheels, Utilitas Math., in press.
- 26. Naeem R, Imran M. Metric dimension and exchange property for resolving sets in rotationally-symmetric graphs, Appl. Math. Inf. Sci 2014;8(4):1665-1674.
- 27. Perc M, Gomez-Gardenes J, Szolnoki A, Floria LM, Moreno Y. Evolutionary dynamics of group interactions on structured populations: A review, J.R. Soc. Interface 2013;10:20120997.
- 28. Perc M, Szolnoki A. Coevolutionary games-A mini review, Bio Systems 2010;99:109-125.
- 29. Szolnoki A, Perc M. Correlation of positive and negative reciprocity fails to confer an evolutionary advantage: phase transitions to elementary strategies, Phys. Rev 2013;X3:041-021.
- 30. Saputro SW, Simanjuntak R, Uttunggadewa S, Assiyatun H, Baskoro ET, Salman ANM *et al.* The metric dimension of the lexicographic product of graphs, Discrete Math 2013; 313:1045-1051.
- 31. Sebo A, Tannier E. On metric generators of graphs, Math. Oper. Res 2004;29:83-393.
- 32. Slater PJ. Leaves of trees, Congr. Numer 1975;14:549-559.
- 33. Slater PJ. Dominating and reference sets in graphs, J Math. Phys. Sci 1998;22:445-455.
- 34. Tomescu I, Imran M. On metric and partition dimensions of some infinite regular graphs, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie 2009;52(100):46-472.
- 35. Tomescu I, Javaid I. On the metric dimension of Jahangir graph, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie 2007;50(98):371-376.
- 36. Yero IG, Kuziak D, Rodrg´uez-Velazquez JA. On the metric dimension of corona product graphs, Comput. Math. Appl 2011;61(9):2793-2798.
- 37. Yero IG, Rodrg´ uez-Velazquez JA. A note on the partition dimension of Cartesian product graphs, Appl. Math. Comput 2010;217(7):3571-3574.