International Journal of Applied Research 2022; 8(10): 87-90

International Journal of Applied Research

ISSN Print: 2394-7500
ISSN Online: 2394-5869
Impact Factor: 8.4
IJAR 2022; 8(10): 87-90
www.allresearchjournal.com
Received: 12-07-2022
Accepted: 18-08-2022

Dr. Saroj Vishwakarma
Department of Zoology, Govt. I.G.P.G. College, Shahdol, Madhya Pradesh, India

Dr. Bramhanand Shukla
Department of Zoology, Govt. I.G.P.G. College, Shahdol, Madhya Pradesh, India

Corresponding Author:
Dr. Saroj Vishwakarma
Department of Zoology, Govt. I.G.P.G. College, Shahdol, Madhya Pradesh, India

Heavy metals accumulation in two fish species (*Labeo rohita* and *Cirrhina mrigala*) from Umrar dam, District Umaria (M.P.)

Dr. Saroj Vishwakarma and Dr. Bramhanand Shukla

Abstract
We present the results from a detailed study based on five metals (Cr, Cd, Zn, Pb and Fe) measured in four organs (gills, liver, intestine and muscle) of two fish species (*Labeo rohita* and *Cirrhina mrigala*) collected from Umrar dam of Umaria district. The results show limited differences between the two species and organs as well as significant variations within the five analyzed metals. Although the metal concentrations measured in fish muscle are low, high levels of Fe and Pb were observed in the liver and gills followed by other organs of the two fish species. The concentrations of heavy metals in edible parts (muscle) of fish were within the permissible levels and are safe for the human consumption. However, the results of the study clearly show the biomagnifications of metals in Umrar dam.

Keywords: Bioaccumulation, biomagnification, Fish, heavy metals, Umrar dam

Introduction

Presence of pollutants causes undesirable changes in the physico-chemical or biological factors of an ecosystem, which in turn directly or indirectly affects the ecological balance of the environment that ultimately has its effect on human beings. Among these innumerable contaminants, pollution by heavy metals has become a global threat because of its toxicity which are persistent for several decades in the environment by bioaccumulation and biomagnifications in the Food Chain (Gochfeld, 2003) [1]. However, in recent years heavy metal concentrations were found to be raised in ecosystems by the release of industrial effluents, agricultural and mining activities. As a result, aquatic organisms were exposed to elevated levels of heavy metals (Kalay and Canil, 2000 and Sankar, *et al.* 2006) [2, 3].

The aquatic organisms exposed to the heavy metal from the runoff water tend to accumulate in their body but fishes are more commonly affected then other species (Guven *et al.* 1999 and Henry *et al.* 2004) [4, 5]. Studies carried out in different fish species have revealed that both essential and non-essential metals can produce toxic effects in fish by disturbing their growth, physiological, biochemical, reproduction activities, and mortality (Lee and Stuebing, 1990 and Yilmaz, 2005) [6, 7]. Hence, fishes are considered as one of the best indicator of heavy metal contamination in environment (Evans *et al.* 1993, Rashed, 2001) [8, 9].

As part of a monitoring study of the anthropogenic pollution of Umrar dam, the heavy metal pollution in water, sediments, fish, plants, mullet, oyster, and algae species populating the Umrar dam has been investigated in the previous studies. However, there is no report about the metal pollution level in crustaceans of Umrar dam. Hence, the aim of the present study is evaluate the level of heavy metal contamination in two commonly available fish species (*Labeo rohita and Cirrhina mrigala*), which appears to have great economic and ecological importance in the Umrar dam.

Study area

Umrar dam is an irrigation project situated near of Dadari Villages of Karkeli block of Umaria District. The project has an annual irrigation potential of 2429 ha, Culturable Command Area of 2313 ha. and Gross Command Area of 3238 ha.

This is a medium Irrigation project, completed in the year 1979-1980. It is built across a local River called Umrar River under Ganga basin intercepting a catchment area of 60.22 km^2. The project comprises of homogeneous earth dam having the total length of main dam 995m with

1st subsidiary dam of 351m and 2nd subsidiary dam of 495.60m. The maximum height of the dam is 24.85 m. The spillway crest has an ogee shape. It is 140 m long. Its latitude is 80°-49’-05” N and longitude is 23°-29’-05’’E. Itis located in Ganga Basin.

Materials and Methods

Sampling

Ten samples of each fish species (*Labeo rohita* and *Cirrhina mrigala*) were collected during two seasons (premonsoon and post monsoon) by professional fishermen using a multifilament, nylon gill net and trawl from inside the Umrar dam. Samples were washed with clean water at the point of collection, separated by species, placed on ice, brought to the laboratory on the same day and then frozen at −20°Cuntil dissection.

Sample preparation

Frozen fish samples were thawed at room temperature and dissected using stainless steel scalpels. One gram of accurately weighed epaxial muscle on the dorsal surface of the fish, the entire liver and intestine and two gill racers from each sample were dissected for analysis. They were washed with distilled water, dried in filter paper, weighed, packed in polyethylene bags and kept at −20 °C until analysis.

Analytical procedure

The digestion was performed in a microwave digester to prepare the sample for analysis (Kenstar Closed vessel Microwave digestion) using the microwave digestion program, according to (Batvari *et al.* 2008) [10], the samples were digested with 5 ml of nitric acid (65%) and after complete digestion, the samples was cooled to room temperature and diluted to 25 ml with double distilled water. All the digested samples were analyzed three times for metals Cd, Cr, Zn, Pb and Fe using Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (PerkinElmer, AA 700) and are expressed as µg/g wet weight of tissue (Kingston and Jassie, 1988) [16]. Analytical blanks were run in the sameway as the samples and the concentrations were determined using the standard solutions prepared in the same acid matrix. The quality of the data was checked by the analysis of standard reference material (MESS-1 and DORM-2, National Research Council, Canada). All reagents used during analysis were of analytical grade and de-ionized water was used throughout the study. All the plastics and glassware were washed in nitric acid for 15 min and rinsed with deionized water before use. The recovery rates were 97.1%, 102%, 95.4%, 95%, and 97.6% for Cr, Cd, Zn, Pb, and Fe, respectively. All the reagents used during analysis were of analytical grade, and deionized water was used throughout the study.

Results and Discussion

Knowledge about heavy metal concentration is important with respect to both the ecosystem management and human consumption. In the present study, the level of Cu, Cd, Cr, Zn, Pb, and Fe accumulation in muscle, gills, intestine, and hepatopancreas of *L. rohita and C. mrigala* was determined during pre-monsoon and postmonsoon seasons and summarized in Table 1. In general, the accumulation of heavy metals in different organs shows difference with respect to their capacity. In *L. rohita*, the mean concentration of Cu (0.2 and 0.29 µg/g), Cd (0.18 and 0.8µg/g), Cr (0.09 and 0.10µg/g) Zn (0.24 and 0.27µg/g), and Pb (2.37 and 2.60 µg/g) concentrations appeared considerably higher in liver than in other tissues during premonsoon. However, high mean concentration of Fe (3.22 and 4.38µg/g) was observed in *L. rohita.*

In *C. mrigala* the mean concentration Cu (0 and 0.10µg/g), Cd (0.08 and 0.12µg/g), Cr (0.10 and 0.20µg/g) Zn (0.24 and 0.36µg/g) Pb (2.30 and 2.33µg/g) and Fe (2.20 and 5.71µg/g). However the high mean concentration of Fe higher in both species in post-monsoon and pre-monsoon conditions. Several possible explanations could account for the differences in metal concentration between two fish species. The organisms may be unable to incorporate the metals present in water or sediment. Alternatively, the accumulated metal might be excreted by metabolic activity of the organisms (Gundogdu *et al.* 2011 and Vinodhini and Narayanan, 2008) [17, 18].

Table 1: Concentration (µg/g) of heavy metals in different organs of fishes during pre-monsoon and postmonsoon

Season	Species	Organ	Metal (µg/g)					
			Cu	**Cd**	**Cr**	**Zn**	**Pb**	**Fe**
Pre-monsoon	*Labeo rohita*	Liver	BDL	0.130	0.260	0.173	4.237	3.567
		Gills	BDL	0.094	0.060	0.442	1.322	2.262
		Intestine	0.022	0.144	0.029	0.292	2.456	11.426
		Muscle	0.022	0.120	0.020	0.206	1.468	0.264
	Chirrhina mirgala	Liver	BDL	0.106	0.248	0.274	2.222	3.636
		Gills	BDL	0.150	0.056	0.414	3.402	1.774
		Intestine	BDL	0.096	0.015	0.101	1.851	3.313
		Muscle	BDL	0.128	BDL	0.188	1.944	0.198
Post-monsoon	*Labeo rohita*	Liver	BDL	0.062	0.224	0.205	5.200	1.200
		Gills	0.023	0.106	0.109	0.506	1.560	2.600
		Intestine	0.034	0.123	0.064	0.156	2.602	7.800
		Muscle	BDL	0.044	0.026	0.093	1.056	1.317
	Chirrhina mirgala	Liver	BDL	0.104	0.154	0.302	1.604	3.202
		Gills	BDL	0.062	0.042	0.554	3.600	1.800
		Intestine	0.023	0.104	0.154	0.082	2.202	3.106
		Muscle	0.188	0.064	0.473	0.507	1.808	14.750

BDL: Below detection level



Fig 1: Graphics analysis of Concentration (µg/g) of heavy metals in different organs of fishes during pre-monsoon



Fig 1: Graphics analysis of Concentration (µg/g) of heavy metals in different organs of fishes during post-monsoon

The order of mean concentration of metals analyzed in various organs *L. rohita*, the sequence is as follows: liver, Pb> Fe > Cd> Cr> Zn> Cu; gills, Pb> Fe> Zn > Cd>Cr > Cu; intestine, Fe>Pb> Zn> Cd > Cr >Cu and muscle Pb>Fe> Zn> Cd > Cr >Cu respectively as shown in figure 1. During postmonsoon, the concentration of metals in liver, gills, intestine and muscle of *Labeo rohita* has the following sequence Pb> Fe> Zn> Cr> Cd > Cu; Fe >Pb> Zn > Cd> Cr> Cu >; Fe >Pb> Cd > Zn> Cr> Cu and Fe >Pb> Zn> Cd >Cr > Cu respectively as shown in the figure 2. The mean concentration of Cd, Zn, Pb Cr, Cu and Fe in *Labeo rohita* during premonsoon and postmonsoon are of the following order Fe>Pb> Zn> Cr> Cd > Cu as shown in figures 1-2. The following two metals Pb and Fe shows the higher values in liver, gills, intestine and muscle and the order being intestine > liver> muscle> gills during the premonsoon and intestine > liver> gills> muscle in postmonsoon seasons.

The analyzed concentration of trace metals during premonsoon season in various organs of *C.mirgala*, gives the following sequence: liver, Fe >Pb> Cr> Zn> Cd> Cu; gills, Pb> Fe>Zn > Cd>Cr > Cu; intestine, Fe>Pb> Cd > Zn> Cr >Cu and muscle Pb>Fe> Zn> Cd > Cr >Cu respectively as shown in figure 1. The postmonsoon has concentration of metals in liver, gills, intestine and muscle of *Chirrhina mirgala* with the following sequence, Fe>Pb> Cr> Zn> Cd > Cu; Pb> Fe > Zn > Cd> Cr> Cu >; Fe >Pb> Cr> Cd > Zn> Cu and Fe >Pb> Zn> Cr > Cd > Cu respectively as shown in figure 2. The mean concentration of Cd, Zn, Pb Cr, Cu and Fe in *Chirrhina mirgala* during premonsoon and postmonsoon are of the following order Fe>Pb> Zn> Cr> Cd > Cu as shown in the figures 1-2. The two metals Pb and Fe has the highest values in liver, gills, intestine and muscle with the order being given as muscle> liver> gills > intestine for both premonsoon and postmonsoon.

In general, Fe and Pb was found to be the highly accumulated metal and Cu was the least accumulated metal in both fish, (Table 1). The results are consistent with previous studies, indicating high concentration of Fe and Pb in fish, collected from the Umrar dam, India (Batvari *et al.* 2008; Kamala-Kannan, *et al.* 2008 and Priya, *et al.* 2011) [10, 13, 19]. The variation in metal accumulation rate could be due to the metabolic rate, exposure route, metal mobility, bioavailability, and species present in water and sediment of the Pulicat lake (Ariza, *et al.* 1999 and Offem, BO and Ayotunde, 2008) [20, 21]. In addition, the environmental factors such as pH, temperature, salinity, nutrients, organic matter, organic carbon, and environmental conditions of the ecosystem influence the bioavailability and bioaccumulation rate of metals (Begum, 2016, Shukla, Bramhanand and Upadhyay, 2017 and Rana, 2018) [11, 14, 15]. The pH of the water samples ranged from 8.1 to 8.47 during postmonsoon and 8.3 to 8.65 during pre-monsoon. High salinity was observed during pre-monsoon (42 ppt) and low salinity was observed during post-monsoon (20.49 ppt). Nitrite and nitrate concentrations in lake water samples were in higher range (1.93 and 0.146 mg/l) during monsoon and in lower range (0.1 and 0.01 mg/l) during post-monsoon. The concentration of metals in water samples was high (Cr 11.4

mg/l, Pb 3.3 mg/l, and Cd 0.07 mg/l) in pre-monsoon and low (Cr 1.4 mg/l, Pb 2.4 mg/l, and Cd 0.04 mg/l) in post-monsoon. The maximum level of Cd (88.7 mg/g) in sediments was observed during monsoon and minimum level (32.7 mg/g) was observed during pre-monsoon. Chromium concentration observed in the sediments was found to be maximum (45.3 mg/g) in pre-monsoon and minimum (19.8 mg/g) in post-monsoon. The maximum Pb concentration of 43.7 mg/g was observed during monsoon and minimum level of 7.2 mg/g was observed during pre-monsoon (Kamala-Kannan and Krishnamoorthy, 2006 and Kamala-Kannan, *et al.* 2008) [12, 13].

Conclusion

The present study provides information on accumulation of Fe, Pb and other toxic metals in fish (*L. rohita* and *C. mrigala*) from Umrar dam. Although the concentration of metals in edible parts of fishes was below the limited value prescribed by Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (1983), the results of the present study and previous study clearly indicate the biomagnification of Pb and other metals in aquatic biota of Umrar dam.

Acknowledgement

Authors are thankful to authority of Pt. S.N.S. Govt. P.G. College, Shahdol (M.P.) to carried out this work.

References

1. Gochfeld M. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety. 2003;56:174-179.
2. Kalay M, Canil M. Turkish Journal of Zoology. 2000;24:429-436.
3. Sankar TV, Zynudheen AA, Anandan R, Nair PG. Viswanathan. Chemosphere. 2006;65:583-590.
4. Guven K, Zbay C, Unlu E, Satar A. Turkish Journal of Biology. 1999;23:513-521.
5. Henry F, Amara R, Courcot L, Lacouture D, Bertho ML. Environment International. 2004;30:675-683.
6. Lee YH, Stuebing RB. Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology. 1990;45:272-279.
7. Yilmaz AB. Turkish Journal of Veterinary and Animal Sciences. 2005;29:257-262.
8. Evans DW, Dodoo DK, Hanson DJ. Marine Pollution Bulletin. 1993;26(6):329-334.
9. Rashed MN. Environment International. 2001;27:27-33.
10. Batvari BPD, Kamala-Kannan S, Shanthi K, Krishnamoorthy R, Lee KJ, Jayaprakash M. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment. 2008;145:167-175.
11. Begum Tasleem. Hydrobiological studies on Narsarha Talab of Shahdol Madhya Pradesh, International Journal of Botany Studies. 2016;1(6):05-07.
12. Kamala-Kannan S, Krishnamoorthy R. Science of the Total Environment. 2006;367:341-363.
13. Kamala-Kannan S, Batvari BPD, Lee KJ, Kannan N, Krishnamoorthy R, Shanthi K. Chemosphere. 2008;71:1233-1240.
14. Shukla Bramhanand, Upadhyay Nidhi. Limnological study of Hanuman temple pond of Shahdol municipality, M.P. International Journal of Zoology Studies. 2017;2(5):27-30.
15. Rana, Phul Kunwar Singh. A study of Physico-chemical parameters of springs around Amarkantak Satpura Valley (M.P.). International Journal of Advanced Science and Research. 2018;3(1):141-143.
16. Kingston HM, Jassie LB. Introduction to microwave sample preparation Theory and Practice. ACS, Washington, DC; c1988. p. 93-154.
17. Gundogdu A, Harmantepe FB, Karsli Z, Dogan G. Italian Journal of Animal Science. 2011;10:1-6.
18. Vinodhini R, Narayanan M. International Journal of Environmental Science and Technology. 2008;5:179-182.
19. Priya SL, Senthilkumar B, Hariharan G, Selvam AP, Purvaja R, Ramesh R. Toxicology and Industrial Health. 2011;27:117-126.
20. Ariza ME, Bijur GN, Williams MV. Environmental Metal Pollutants, Reactive Oxygen Intermediaries and Genotoxicity. Kluwer Academic Publishers. Norwell, MA; c1999.
21. Offem BO, Ayotunde EO. Water Air and Soil Pollution. 2008;192:39-46.